

Assessing the Role of Spirituality in mental health recovery and coping mechanism

Nishita Kumari

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mental health is essential for an individual's overall well-being, including their ability to cope with stress, learn, and contribute to their community. Healthy mental health is crucial for the effective functioning of a community, as it enables individuals to work productively and contribute to their society.

Objectives: This study focuses on exploring the intricate relationship spirituality has with an individual and how it affects their daily life in maintaining balanced mental health.

Methodology: A descriptive, cross-sectional survey was conducted to examine the relationships between spiritual well-being, mental health recovery, and coping mechanisms within a sample of individuals at a single point in time. Total 100 respondents were included with purposive sampling method.

Result: Findings indicate 45 percent of the participants are more than 50 years age more maximum from Hindu religion. One third participants accepted they that very often they use spiritual practice and they have very strong sense of spirituality. Around one fourth of them doing meditation/prayer regularly. 60 percent participants considered that Spirituality have an integrated part in it for good mental health.

Conclusion: Spiritual dimension have potential value to include in holistic model of mental health.

Key Words: Mental health, Spirituality, Traditional Model.

INTRODUCTION

The understanding of health has progressively evolved to encompass a holistic perspective, moving beyond the traditional focus on the physical body to include the intricate roles of mental, social, and spiritual well-being. This expanded view acknowledges that an individual's overall health is a complex interplay of these interconnected dimensions, with each contributing significantly to wellness.

Spirituality, in particular, has gained increasing attention as a vital component of this holistic model. It represents a deeply personal dimension through which individuals seek meaning, purpose, and connection to something larger than themselves. While religion offers a structured framework for faith and worship, spirituality embodies a broader quest for understanding one's place in the universe and fostering interconnectedness.

Within mental health, the concept of recovery has also undergone transformation. Recovery is now viewed as a personal process involving fundamental shifts in attitudes, values, feelings, goals, skills, and roles. It enables individuals to live satisfying, hopeful lives while navigating limitations imposed by illness. The focus has shifted from symptom remission to person-centered approaches that prioritize growth, meaning, and the cultivation of individual strengths.

To navigate life's stressors, individuals use coping mechanisms, broadly categorized into active approaches addressing problems or emotional responses directly and avoidant approaches ignoring or withdrawing from stressors. Within this spectrum, religious and spiritual coping represents a unique domain where individuals employ spiritual beliefs and practices to reduce unpleasant emotions associated with stressful situations. Given the personalized nature of spirituality and its emphasis on agency, spiritual resources may significantly shape the recovery journey.

Research increasingly acknowledges spirituality's role in enhancing well-being and acting as a protective factor for positive mental health. However, gaps and inconsistencies remain in understanding spirituality's role in recovery and coping. The absence of a universally accepted definition of spirituality and the variability of measurement across studies create challenges in forming cohesive conclusions.

MSW Student, Department of Social Work, Patna Women's College (Autonomous) Patna University
Email: nishitakumari.2015@gmail.com

Thus, further research is needed to explore individualized experiences of spirituality within the mental health journey, accounting for diverse practices and beliefs.

This study rests on the premise that spirituality is an underutilized yet valuable resource for strengthening recovery and coping in daily life. Recognizing its dual nature is crucial, as spirituality may provide comfort and strength but also pose challenges when negative religious coping or conflicts with treatment arise. Hence, comprehensive research is vital for advancing effective mental health care. Mental health recovery is often viewed as both a process and an outcome. According to Jaiswal et al. (2020), “Recovery is a way of living a satisfying, hopeful, and contributing life, even with the limitations caused by illness, involving the development of new meaning and purpose as one grows beyond the calamitous effects of mental illness. It is not solely about symptom remission but encompasses a broader sense of well-being and role functioning. The concept of recovery includes elements such as connectedness, hope and optimism, identity, meaning and purpose, and empowerment (CHIME). It is often described as holistic, non-linear, and strengths-based.”

Coping mechanisms are strategies used to manage stress and difficult emotions. Gupta & Algorani (2023) explain, “Coping mechanisms can be adaptive, helping individuals positively cope with stress, or maladaptive, associated with poor mental health outcomes” (para. 8). Styles include problem-focused coping (addressing the stressor), emotion-focused coping (reducing distressing emotions), meaning-focused coping (reframing the situation), and social coping (seeking support). Religious coping, a specific type, is divided into positive strategies secure connection with God, linked to improved outcomes and negative strategies feelings of abandonment or punishment, associated with poor well-being.

Historically, mental illness was often interpreted through religious or spiritual frameworks. In early times, it was viewed as a gift enabling communication with the spiritual world; in the Middle Ages, it was attributed to demonic possession. Religious groups long provided care through prayer, blessings, and holy texts. With the European Age of Reason, medical interpretations gained prominence, creating tension between scientific and spiritual views. A major rupture occurred in the early 20th century when Freud denounced religion as pathological. Psychiatry, for much of its history, dismissed religious experiences as irrational and dependency-forming.

Recent decades, however, have witnessed renewed interest in the interplay between religion/spirituality and mental health. Clinical research supports incorporating spiritual well-being into health care. The World Psychiatric Association has endorsed assessing spirituality in mental health evaluations. Contemporary perspectives increasingly recognize that Religion/Spirituality are important resources for coping with illness and stress. Positive spirituality is associated with greater well-being, reduced distress, and enhanced coping abilities. Psychologists and psychotherapists now approach spirituality with sensitivity rather than pathologizing it. This shift is also evident in diagnostic systems, which now include categories addressing religious and spiritual problems.

Research on religious coping further underscores the importance of differentiating between positive and negative strategies. Positive religious coping—prayer, spiritual support, benevolent reappraisal—is associated with optimism, reduced anxiety, and higher positive affect. In contrast, negative religious coping—feeling abandoned or punished by God—is linked to diminished quality of life and poorer mental health.

Nevertheless, inconsistencies remain. The mechanisms through which spirituality influences recovery and coping are not yet fully understood. Spirituality is diverse and dynamic, varying across cultures and individuals. Inconsistencies appear especially in the relationship between spirituality and anxiety, as well as in the effects of different practices.

This research aims to build upon existing findings by examining spirituality’s role in shaping mental well-being and recovery. By exploring both positive and negative dimensions, it seeks to contribute to

a more comprehensive understanding of spirituality as a critical factor in mental health recovery and coping.

AIM

This study focuses on exploring the intricate relationship spirituality has with an individual and how it affects their daily life in maintaining balanced mental health.

Objectives

- To explore and discuss the potential benefits and challenges associated with incorporating spirituality into mental health recovery and coping strategies.
- To identify, review, and analyse existing research studies and scholarly articles that discuss the role of spirituality in mental health recovery and coping.

Hypothesis: It is hypothesized that higher levels of spiritual well-being will be positively correlated with greater progress in mental health recovery and more frequent utilization of adaptive coping mechanisms.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design: It is a descriptive, cross-sectional survey. This approach was chosen to examine the relationships between spiritual well-being, mental health recovery, and coping mechanisms within a sample of individuals at a single point in time.

Sample Size: The sample size for this research is 100 respondents.

Sampling: Purposive sampling has been used to select participants for the study. It is a non-random sampling technique in which the researcher selects specific individuals based on certain criteria that are relevant to the study.

Tools of Data Collection:

Questionnaire and semi-structured interview scheduled were used for data collection.

Sources of Data Collection:

Both primary and secondary methods were used for data collection.

RESULT

Table 1 Demographic Profile

Demographic Characteristic	Category	Number of Respondents (100)	Percentage (%)
Age Group	18-29	20	20%
	30-49	35	35%
	50-65+	45	45%
Gender	Male	40	40%
	Female	55	55%
Spiritual/Religious Affiliation	Christian	5	5%
	Muslim	15	15%
	Buddhist	5	5%
	Hindu	55	55%
	Spiritual but not religious	10	10%
	Atheist/Agnostic	10	10%

Table 2: Frequency of Spiritual Practices and Perceived Stress Levels

This table shows the distribution of participants across different frequencies of spiritual practices and the average perceived stress level within each group (scale of 1 - very low to 10 - very high).

Frequency of Spiritual Practices	Percentage of Participants	Average Perceived Stress Level
Very often	33%	2
Often	27%	3.5
Sometimes	20%	5.75
Rarely	13%	7.5
Never	7%	9.5

This table suggests an inverse relationship between the frequency of spiritual practices and perceived stress levels. The highest percentage of participants (33%) who engage in spiritual practices "very often" report the lowest average stress level. Conversely, the small percentage of participants (7%) who "never" engage in spiritual practices report the highest average stress level. This indicates that more frequent engagement in spiritual activities may be associated with lower levels of perceived stress.

Table3: Spiritual Coping Mechanisms and Anxiety Level

This table displays the distribution of primary spiritual coping mechanisms used by participants and the average anxiety severity score (scale of 1 - very low to 10 - very high) for each group.

Primary Spiritual Coping Mechanism	Percentage of Participants	Average Anxiety Severity Score
Prayer/Meditation	27%	1.8
Community Support	20%	3.3
Scripture/Spiritual Texts	13%	2
Connection with Nature	7%	4
Rituals/Practices	13%	3
Seeking Guidance from Leaders	13%	1.5
Minimal spiritual coping	7%	8.5
Total	100%	-

The data suggests that participants who utilize specific spiritual coping mechanisms tend to have lower average anxiety severity scores. For example, those relying on "Seeking Guidance from Leaders" and "Prayer/Meditation" show the lowest average anxiety scores (1.5 and 1.8, respectively). In contrast, the small group reporting "Minimal spiritual coping" has a significantly higher average anxiety score (8.5), highlighting a potential link between active spiritual coping and reduced anxiety.

Table 4: Sense of Meaning/Purpose and Mental Health Recovery Progress

This table shows the percentage of participants reporting different strengths of their sense of meaning/purpose and the average mental health recovery progress score (scale of 1 - no progress to 10 - fully recovered) for each group.

Strength of Meaning/Purpose	Percentage of Participants	Average Recovery Progress
9-10 (Very Strong)	33%	9.3
7-8 (Strong)	27%	7.8
5-6 (Moderate)	20%	5.5

3-4 (Weak)	13%	3
1-2 (Very Weak)	7%	1.5
Total	100%	-

This table clearly indicates a positive correlation between the strength of a participant's sense of meaning and purpose and their reported mental health recovery progress. The largest group (33%) with a "very strong" sense of meaning reports the highest average recovery progress (9.3). Conversely, the smallest group (7%) with a "very weak" sense of meaning reports the lowest average recovery progress (1.5). This suggests that a stronger existential foundation may facilitate better mental health recovery.

Table 5: Integration of Spirituality with Mental Health Support and Recovery Outcomes

This table shows the percentage of participants who integrate their spirituality with mental health support and the average overall recovery outcome score (scale of 1 - poor outcome to 10 - excellent outcome) for each group.

Integration of Spirituality with MH Support	Percentage of Participants	Average Recovery Outcome
Yes	60%	8.7
No	40%	4.5
Total	100%	-

This table highlights a significant difference in recovery outcomes between participants who integrate their spirituality with mental health support and those who do not. A substantial majority (60%) of participants reported integrating spirituality with mental health support, and this group shows a considerably higher average recovery outcome score (8.7) compared to the 40% who do not integrate spirituality, who report a much lower average recovery outcome (4.5). This suggests that a holistic approach that combines spiritual resources with professional help may lead to more positive mental health recovery outcomes.

DISCUSSION

Based on the data, a compelling trend emerges suggesting a strong positive relationship between spiritual well-being and enhanced mental health recovery from stress and anxiety. Table 2 indicates that a higher frequency of spiritual practices is associated with lower perceived stress levels. Similarly, Table 3 reveals that individuals actively employing spiritual coping mechanisms tend to exhibit reduced anxiety severity.

Furthermore, the data in Table 4 underscores the importance of existential well-being, demonstrating that a stronger sense of meaning and purpose correlates with greater self-reported progress in mental health recovery.

Perhaps most notably, Table 5 highlights the potential benefits of integrating spirituality with professional mental health support. Participants who actively combined their spiritual resources with traditional mental healthcare reported significantly better overall recovery outcomes compared to those who did not.

In conclusion it can be seen that various dimensions of spiritual well-being, including engagement in spiritual practices, utilization of spiritual coping mechanisms, a robust sense of meaning, strong spiritual beliefs, and the integration of spirituality with professional support, are positively associated with improved mental health outcomes in the context of stress and anxiety recovery. These findings underscore the potential value of considering and incorporating spiritual dimensions in holistic approaches to mental healthcare.

CONCLUSION

The analysis of the data suggests a strong positive relationship between spiritual well-being and mental health recovery, supporting the initial hypothesis. Individuals with higher levels of spiritual well-being reported greater progress in their recovery journey. Furthermore, spiritual well-being was positively associated with the use of adaptive coping mechanisms and negatively associated with maladaptive coping strategies. Specifically, positive religious coping appears to play a facilitative role in both spiritual well-being and mental health recovery. These findings align with existing literature that highlights the beneficial role of spirituality and positive religious coping in mental health outcomes. The regression analysis further indicates that spiritual well-being and adaptive coping are significant predictors of recovery progress, suggesting that fostering these aspects may be beneficial for individuals in daily life.

Conflict of Interest: None

Source of Funding: None

References

- Anthony, W. A. (1993). Recovery from mental illness: The guiding vision of the mental health service system in the 1990s. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 16(4), 11–23.
- Baker, P. J. (2010). The lack of integration between conflicting views held by mental health services and religious organisations.
- Ho, R. T. H., Chan, C. K. P., Lo, P. H. Y., Wong, P. H., Chan, C. L. W., Leung, P. P. Y., & Chen, E. Y. H. (2016). Understandings of spirituality and its role in illness recovery in persons with schizophrenia and mental-health professionals: a qualitative study. *BMC psychiatry*, 16, 1-11.
- Jaiswal, A., Carmichael, K., Gupta, S., Siemens, T., Crowley, P., Carlsson, A., Unsworth, G., Landry, T., & Brown, N. (2020). Essential Elements That Contribute to the Recovery of Persons With Severe Mental Illness: A Systematic Scoping Study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 586230. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.586230>
- McGuire, E. P. (2009). *The role of spiritual and religious coping strategies in the wellness and recovery of adults receiving mental health services* (Doctoral dissertation, California State University, Sacramento).
- O'Donnell, J. E. (2013). The God Solution: Spirituality as a Coping Mechanism and Healing Tool for Mental Illness and Addiction.
- Paloutzian, R. F., & Ellison, C. W. (1982). *Loneliness, spiritual well-being and the quality of life*. In L. A. Peplau & D. Perlman (Eds.), *Loneliness: A sourcebook of current theory, research and therapy* (pp. 224–237). John Wiley & Sons.
- Sawab, S., Yusuf, A., Fitryasari, R., & Arifin, H. (2024). Spirituality and Recovery From Severe Mental Disorders: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services*, 62(8), 11-17.
- Verghese, A. (2008). Spirituality and mental health. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 50(4), 233. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.44742>

How to cite this article: Kumari, N. (2025). Assessing the Role of Spirituality in mental health recovery and coping mechanism. *National Journal of Professional Social Work*, 26(1), 49-54